The human cerebellum ('little brain') is a significant part of the central nervous system (CNS) both in size and neural processing power. It occupies approximately one-tenth of the cranial cavity, sitting astride the brainstem, beneath the occipital cortex, and yet contains more neurons than the whole of the cerebral cortex. It consists of an extensive cortical sheet, densely folded around three pairs of nuclei. The cortex contains only five main neural cell types and is one of the most regular and uniform structures in the CNS, with an orthogonal 'crystalline' organization. Major connections are made to and from the spinal cord, brainstem, and sensorimotor areas of the cerebral cortex.

The most common causes of damage to the cerebellum are stroke, tumors, or multiple sclerosis. These result in prominent movement disorders, the principal symptoms being ataxia, tremor, and hypotonia. Affective, cognitive, and autonomic symptoms are also common. Childhood cancers, developmental disorders, and ion channel disorders also affect the cerebellum.

Despite its remarkable structure and well-understood physiology, the role of the cerebellum is far from clear. This challenge has attracted many scientists to study it, and the cerebellum is also remarkable for the number of conflicting theories that have been put forward to describe its function.

**Deep Nuclei of the Cerebellum**

Each cerebellar region projects in a systematic manner to the underlying deep nuclei, which provide the output fibers from the cerebellum. The lateral hemisphere projects predominantly to the dentate nucleus; the paravermis projects to the interpositus nucleus, which in man is divided into globose and emboliform nuclei; and the vermis projects to the fastigial nucleus (F). The flocculonodular cortex projects to the lateral vestibular nucleus (Dietrich's nucleus) – functionally a displaced deep cerebellar nucleus.

Gross organization is mirrored phylogenetically. The oldest part, the archi-or vestibulocerebellum, is retained as the flocculonodulus. It is closely connected with the vestibular system and predominantly involved in balance. The paleo- or spinocerebellum corresponds to the anterior vermis, pyramid, uvula, and paraflocculus and is concerned with balance, posture, and orientation. It receives spinal proprioceptive inputs as well as auditory and visual input, and it projects back to the spinal cord via the red nucleus. The neocerebellum (caudal vermis, paravermis, and lateral hemispheres) has developed in response to spinal sensorimotor functions.
terrestrial animals for independent control of the limbs and in mammals has expanded further in concert with the development of fine control of the distal musculature. The paravermis and lateral hemispheres affect ipsilateral muscles, and their dysfunction results in movement deficits of the limb on the same side as the lesion. The vermis and flocculonodulus influence muscles of the trunk and the eyes, and therefore lesions can have bilateral effects.

The gross anatomy of the cerebellum varies across vertebrate species in line with their sensorimotor specialization. Fish use a sensory 'lateral line organ' for detecting vibration in the water, and electroreceptive mormyrid fish have further developed this system to allow sampling of the surrounding environment with electric pulses. The valvula cerebella (a medial region of the anterior cerebellum) in these species is enormous. Certain basket cell terminals (bats) also have large cerebellar volumes perhaps related to their use of auditory echo location. In cetaceans (whales and dolphins) the dorsal paraflocculus is greatly expanded, perhaps again reflecting their echolocating abilities. In primates, the lateral hemispheres (the ponto- or cerebrocerebellum) have expanded dramatically approximately in proportion to the expanse of the neocortex.

Cytoarchitecture

The perpendicular arrangement of transverse and longitudinal axes is maintained in the cellular organization of the cortex. The most prominent cell type of the cortex is the GABAergic Purkinje cell (P-cell; Figure 2), which has its soma in the middle cortical layer (the Purkinje or ganglionic layer) and a large flattened dendritic tree lying fan-like in the sagittal plane of the upper layer (the molecular layer). P-cells form the only output from the cortex, sending inhibitory axons to the cerebellar nuclei (CN). The glutamatergic granule cells, the most numerous cell type, have their soma and a sparse dendritic arbor within the granular layer and then send single axons to the molecular layer. Here, the axons bifurcate into two unmyelinated parallel fibers (pfs) running transversely along the folia, passing through the dendritic trees of the P-cells. Each Pf extends 2–4 mm across the cortex, with more superficial fibers traveling furthest. P-cell dendritic trees lie across a beam of pfs. Each Pf makes excitatory synaptic contact with the dendrites of perhaps 200 Purkinje cells along this beam, whereas each P-cell receives 200,000 Pf synapses. The Pf activity can evoke a series of 'simple spikes' in the P-cell whose frequency reflects the graded strength of the input. The pfs also make excitatory synaptic contact with the other three GABAergic cell types of the cortex, all with cell bodies within the molecular layer: the Golgi cell (Go), stellate cell (St), and basket cell (Ba) (Figure 2). The Gos have an approximately cylindrical dendritic arbor, whereas the St and Ba have a sagittally flattened or elliptic arbor. The Gos inhibit neighboring granule cells and therefore help to limit the activity within the Pf. The BAs and Sts send axons across the folium at right angles to the pfs, inhibiting neighboring Purkinje cells. Together these interneurons sharpen the zone of activation caused by the granule cells so that a beam of active Purkinje cells is created, bordered by inhibited cells. Two less well-documented and less numerous cell types, the unipolar brush cells (BRs) and Lugano cells, lie below the Purkinje cell layer: The first is a glutamatergic excitatory interneuron feeding onto granule cells and the latter a GABAergic inhibitory cell feeding back from P-cell collaterals onto St and Ba.

Figure 2. (a) The cerebellar cortex. Inputs are shown in blue, output (Purkinje cells) in red. Inhibitory interneurons are black; granule cells are green. Reproduced with permission from Eccles et al. (1967) The Cerebellum as a Neuronal Machine. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. (b) The cerebellar circuit. Arrows indicate the direction of transmission across each synapse; color coding is as in (a). Modified with permission from Voogd and Glickstein (1998) TICS 2: 307–313. 1–3, Sources of mfs; sb and smb, spiny and smooth branches of P-cell dendrites, respectively; PC, Purkinje cell; pcc, P-cell collateral; no, nucleo-olivary pathway; nc, collateral of nuclear relay cell.
The two major inputs to the cortex are the mossy fibers (mfs) and climbing fibers (cfs). The mfs originate from many extracerebellar sites and branch repeatedly to reach one or more narrow sagittal strips of cortex, where they make glutamatergic excitatory contact with the dendrites of the granule cells. The complex of mf terminal and granule cell dendrites is called a synaptic glomerulus. The mfs also send collaterals to the CN so that inputs reach these nuclei directly via a cortical loop involving granule cells and Purkinje cells (Figure 2(b)). On reaching the intermediate cerebellar cortex and the F and interposed nucleus mfs carry visual, somatic, auditory, and vestibular information as well as outputs from the sensorimotor cortex. While reaching the lateral cortex and the dentate nucleus mfs carry information from prefrontal, premotor, parietal, and occipital cortex.

The cfs arise solely from the inferior olive (IO). The IO receives inputs from many areas, carrying vestibular, spinal, cranial, and much cortical descending information. Its cells can have quite complex properties, but they have a precise topographical arrangement (Figure 3). Each cf projects to one or more contralateral parasagittal strips of cerebellar cortex, branching to reach approximately 10 P-cells. They also send collateral connections to the corresponding deep CN. The terminals of the cf form an extensive complex of up to 300 glutamatergic synapses wrapped around the Purkinje cell soma and primary dendrites. Cfs typically fire at low rates of only 1–10 spikes per second and often with low probability to a particular stimulus, but each cf action potential reliably causes a 'complex spike' in the Purkinje cell. They are particularly responsive to the unexpected sensory stimuli, such as gentle touch of the skin for somatosensory cells or motion of the visual image on the retina for visual cells. Many are nociceptive. However, sensitivity is strongly modulated during motor activity so that stimulation during active movement can fail to trigger responses. This very low firing rate signal has proven difficult to decode, and there are many different opinions about what information is carried by the cfs.

The cerebellar cortex receives diffuse projections of norenergic fibers from locus coeruleus, serotonergic fibers from the raphe complex, and a small dopaminergic input from the mesencephalic tegmentum. There are also a number of other neurotransmitters identified within the cortex, including aspartate, glycine, taurine; but the roles of these different inputs is not clear.

**Connectivity**

The cerebellum connects to the brainstem via three large paired roots – the superior, middle, and inferior peduncles (brachium conjunctivum, brachium pontis, and restiform body, respectively; Figure 1).

The vestibulocerebellum receives some mfs that arise directly from the vestibular apparatus and others that are derived from the vestibular nuclei as well as cfs from parts of the IO related to the vestibular nuclei (Figure 3). It also receives mf inputs carrying visual information from lateral geniculate nucleus and superior colliculus. The flocculonodular cortex projects back mostly to the lateral vestibular nucleus and hence to the medial descending spinal pathways. The vestibular nuclei also project via the medial longitudinal fasciculus to the oculomotor nucleus, III, IV, and VI. Its action is therefore mainly on axial muscles and on eye movement, controlling balance and coordinating head–eye movement.

**Spinocerebellum**

Most ascending somatosensory and proprioceptive inputs reach the vermis and paravermis to form topographic maps on...
both the anterior and posterior lobes (Figure 4(a)). There are also considerable vestibular, visual, and auditory inputs, the latter two reaching mainly the posterior lobe. The maps drawn on the cerebellar cortex have been gradually refined with improved recording techniques, and it is now known that mf input actually reaches discrete patches of granule cells forming a mosaic or ‘fractured somatotopic map’ (Figure 4(b)). Quite distant body parts can therefore be mapped onto adjacent patches approximately 50–100 μm wide. This map is then blurred by the granule cells projecting their pfs over several millimeters.

Two pairs of spinocerebellar tracts arise directly from the spinal cord: the dorsal spinocerebellar tract (DSCT) and ventral spinocerebellar tract (VSCT), which carry information from the hindlimbs and lower trunk, and the cuneo- and rostrospinocerebellar tracts carrying corresponding information from the forelimbs and upper trunk. The DSCT arises from Clarke’s nucleus and provides rapidly adapting cutaneous and muscle mechanoreceptor information to the cerebellum via the inferior peduncle. The VSCT arises from more lateral (‘border’) cells of the spinal gray matter and carries muscle spindle, cutaneous, and particularly Golgi tendon organ inputs via the superior cerebellar peduncle, but the cells have extensive connections in the cord. It has been suggested that, although the DSCT carries precise proprioceptive feedback, the VSCT integrates descending, spinal, and proprioceptive signals to provide feedback of the motor commands reaching the motor neurons. If proprioceptive input is eliminated by cutting the dorsal roots, the cerebellar input from DSCT is interrupted, whereas that from VSCT is maintained.

There is also indirect mf input from the lateral reticular nucleus (LRN), again via the inferior cerebellar peduncle. Like the IO, the LRN receives input from spinal cord, cranial nuclei, and cerebral cortex, but unlike the IO, its cells have large multimodal receptive fields.

The anterior and posterior vermis project to the F, the lateral vestibular nuclei, and the brainstem reticular formation. Some outputs also relay via the thalamus to the motor cortex. The outflow therefore affects the medial descending systems of the brainstem and cortex, modulating the descending signals to axial muscles that mediate postural control.

The paravermal cortex projects to the interpositus nucleus and then on to the magnocellular red nucleus with additional outputs to LRN and to the motor cortex via the ventrolateral thalamus. The paravermal outflow therefore indirectly modulates rubrospinal and lateral corticospinal descending systems. Its major influence is on ipsilateral distal limb musculature.

Cerebellar connections form a number of closed loops. One is from the interpositus nucleus to LRN (either directly or via the red nucleus) and back to the intermediate cortex as mfs. Another loop is formed by mfs that project directly from the deep CN back to the cortex. Although the function of these loops is not clear, one suggestion is that they provide reverberating circuits to generate prolonged motor control signals. A third closed loop is formed by indirect projections from the cerebellar cortex to the IO via the deep CN, projecting back to the cortex as cfs.

Figure 4  Representation of sensory information in the cerebellar cortex. (a) Two somatotopic maps are found in the anterior and posterior lobes, with exteroceptive and vestibular information distributed in between. Modified with permission from Kandel ER and Schwartz JH (1985) Principles of Neural Science. New York: Elsevier. (b) These maps are fractured in detail, forming a mosaic over the cortex. Reproduced with permission from Voogd and Glickstein (1998) TICS 2: 307–313. Tactile inputs to the rat cerebellum were mapped by W. Welker onto the cerebellar cortex by recordings from individual granule cells. The rat cerebellum has massive facial inputs and very small limb and trunk input.
Cerebellar projections to cerebral regions other than sensory motor cortex are now well established, and the closed loops between sensorimotor cerebral areas and the cerebellum are matched by closed loops with frontal, cingulate, parahippocampal, and occipitotemporal prefrontal areas. These cerebrocerebellar circuits outside of the sensorimotor cortex are thought to relate more to the preplanning and refinement of motor programs being developed by the cerebral cortex than with the control of ongoing movements. Evidence of cognitive functions that might also depend on these circuits is growing. Certain cerebral areas do not project to the cerebellum, including primary sensory cortices and orbitofrontal and inferior temporal areas; these also appear not to receive cerebellar outputs.

Development

Studies of the development of the cerebellum have rapidly advanced with the identification of genes important for its growth and cellular organization. Proteins expressed in the embryonic mes- and metencephalon are crucial for its gross structure. Other genes are crucial for Purkinje cell development and migration, granule cell development, and neuron–glial interactions.

The cerebellum arises from the dorsal alar plate of the neural tube, with neural cells derived from at least two germinal zones. The neuroepithelial ventricular zone gives rise to cells that form the CN. Soon after, the Purkinje cells emerge, forming a sheet-like layer. Later, CGs also form from the same germinal zone. A second germinal matrix, the external granular layer (EGL), comes from the rhombic lip, and the EGL cells migrate over the cerebellar surface to provide the abundant granule cells as well as St and Bg. Granule cell neuroblasts migrate inward past the Purkinje cells, and this is a crucial process for the final organization of the Purkinje cell layer and their dendritic arbors.

A number of knockout mutations have been found in the mouse that illuminate some of these stages. Knockout of En1 or Wnt1, expressed at the junction of the mesencephalon and metencephalon, leads to near total agenesis of the cerebellum. Development and regulation of the different cerebellar neural populations is still unclear but seems closely bound to the fate of Purkinje cells. In mutant mice such as meander tail or weaver, P-cell development and migration are impaired, which leads to either reduced production of granule cells or their subsequent death. Weaver, staggerer, and several other mutations affect P-cell survival through ion channel function.

The compartmental organization of the cerebellar cortex is complex. Several proteins (e.g., zebrin) are expressed in narrow sagittal stripes, closely related to but probably independent of the olivocerebellar zones (Figure 3). The developmental rules for the organization of the c.f input from the olive are unclear, but lead to salient microzones subdivided into microcomplexes that link cfs, mf inputs, and Purkinje cell output to the nuclei into functional sensorimotor units.

Clinical

Motor

The cerebellum can be affected by neoplasms or paraneoplastic disorders, vascular damage (stroke), inflammatory diseases such as multiple sclerosis, and long-term alcohol abuse as well as other toxic substances. The exposed cerebellar tonsils can be damaged mechanically by violent acceleration and also by tumors or fluid buildup that force the brainstem backward. This is most dangerous if pressure in the spinal fluid is suddenly reduced by a lumbar puncture, and it can result in the brainstem and cerebellar tonsils herniating through the foramen magnum. Because different parts of the cerebellum are involved in the control of vestibular, postural, and distal muscles, lesions of the cerebellum will variously affect primarily balance, posture, or the skilled control of the limbs. Congenital deformation (or even absence) of the cerebellum has much less effect than acute damage, but even in adulthood the effects of lesions improve greatly over time.

Purkinje cells and granule cells are both sensitive to toxic substances. P-cells are very sensitive to ischemia, bilirubin, ethanol, and diphenylhydantoin. Granule cells appear sensitive to methyl halides, thiopehene, methylmercury, 2-chloropropionic acid, and trichlorfon. Both are sensitive to excitotoxic chemicals. Acute effects of cannabinoids are dependent on the G protein-coupled cannabinoid receptor CB1, which is found at high densities in the cerebellum.

An important clinical analysis of the cerebellum was performed in the years following World War I by Gordon Holmes, who studied gunshot-wounded soldiers. He described three classic symptoms: ataxia, intention tremor, and hypotonia.

Ataxia refers to the imperfect coordination of movements, with poor timing, clumsiness, and unsteadiness. Cerebellar patients tend to overshoot when pointing at targets (hypermetric movements). They also have increased reaction times, disturbed temporal patterns of EMG activity and hence abnormal patterns of joint accelerations, and difficulties in performing rapid alternating movements (dysdiadochokinesia).

Intention tremor probably results from continual hypermetric corrections of position. Unlike tremor associated with Parkinson’s disease, intention tremor is not seen when the limb is at rest.

Hypotonia is a loss of muscle tone and it is associated with rapid fatigue of the muscles. It results from the loss of
facilitating drive from the CN to γ-motor neurons. If the hemispheres are affected, the ipsilateral limbs are affected, whereas postural deficit follows damage to the vermis. Hypotonia is found particularly with lesions of the posterior lobe. It is evident as a ‘pendular’ knee jerk in which the leg continues to swing because of the reduced braking action of the muscles. In alcoholic cerebellar damage, and in patients with lesions of the anterior lobe, hypotonia may result through disinhibition of Deiter’s nucleus and hence excitation of γ-motor neurons. Other cerebellar symptoms are nystagmus, other ocular motor problems, and dysarthria.

Hereditary Ataxias

A mixed group of inherited disorders related to degeneration of the cerebellum and its afferent or efferent connections and characterized by progressive ataxia have been identified and linked to approximately 30 different genes. They can be categorized as autosomal recessive or dominant ataxias.

Friedreich’s ataxia is the most common of the recessive ataxias and is caused by mutation causing GAA trinucleotide repeats in a gene on chromosome 9. The onset is in childhood or early adulthood, marked by degeneration of large fibers in the spinocerebellar, posterior columns, and pyramidal tracts with later mild cerebellar degeneration.

The autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxias (ADCA s or spinocerebellar ataxias (SCA s)) are designated by different clinical signs and there are now 18 ADCA and another 31 different inherited SCAs. The ADCAs are caused by gene mutations at many different loci on separate chromosome 2, affecting different proteins. The majority of the SCAs appear to be caused by inheritance of unstable CAG trinucleotide repeat sequences, affecting a class of ataxin proteins and leading to dysfunction within the Purkinje cell nuclei. In most of these inherited ataxias, pathological changes are also found outside the cerebellum, including in the basal ganglia, brainstem, spinal cord, and peripheral nervous system.

Variants of the autosomal dominant disorders, episodic ataxias (with seven subtypes EA1–EA7), lead to brief episodes of ataxia, often triggered by stress, exercise, or fatigue, and with near-normal symptoms during remission. These disorders are thought to be due to mutations affecting ion channel function – K⁺ channels in granule cells in the case of EA1 and voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels on Purkinje cells for EA2 and SCA6 – and can often be clinically treated accordingly.

Nonmotor Functions

In the past few years, there has been a gradual change in opinion about the extent of cerebellar function. Early awareness of its involvement in autonomic, vasomotor, and effective processes was largely ignored as research concentrated on aspects of motor control. However, it is now accepted that it has roles in many cognitive functions: language processing, classic conditioning, problem solving and planning, working memory, attention shifting, and others. There is reported volume loss in the vermis of a proportion of schizophrenic patients and children with attentional deficit hyperactive disorder as well as more widespread depletion with autism. Clinical stimulation of the vermis can reduce fear and aggression in emotionally disturbed patients. Tumor resection in children can lead to behavioral and linguistic problems, especially for midline tumors. Anterior lobe lesions seem to lead to few cognitive symptoms, whereas symptoms are more frequently seen following posterior lobe damage. The term cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome covers signs of deficient executive function, impaired spatial cognition, personality changes with flattening of affect, and language deficits, leading to a net reduction in intellectual function.

Synaptic Plasticity

There is good evidence for long-term changes in the efficacy of synapses between the pfs and Purkinje cells. If the cf is active during pf input, the strength of the synapse from pf to Purkinje cell is reduced by a process called long-term depression (LTD). This changes the relationship between mf input to the cortex and Purkinje cell output and thus modifies P-cell inhibition of the CN. The cf may therefore provide an error signal to modulate or ‘instruct’ the Purkinje cells. Indeed, the cf s are most active in situations in which changes in motor behavior are required, for example, in learning new motor skills or adapting reflex behaviors. Synaptic plasticity is suspected at other sites in the cerebellum. Evidence from studies of the modification of the vestibulo-ocular reflex (VOR) indicates that a change at the level of the cerebellar targets (the deep CN or vestibular nuclei) is required. There is also evidence for long-term potentiation at the synapse between mf and granule cells and perhaps onto St and Ba as well. There seems to be important structural plasticity between cf s and Purkinje cells.

Parallel Fiber/Purkinje Cell Long-Term Depression

The molecular basis of long-term change at the excitatory synapse between pfs and Purkinje cells is well understood. It is dependent on phosphorylation of postsynaptic ionotropic AMPA glutamate receptors and thus a reduction in synaptic efficacy. There are two ways to induce plasticity. In one, a use-dependent homosynaptic form, plasticity is triggered by powerful pf input sufficient to depolarize the postsynaptic P-cell dendrites and induce calcium entry via voltage-sensitive channels. The second form is heterosynaptic, requiring the conjoint excitation of the P-cell by pf activity and by cf input. The complex spike depolarization of the P-cell dendritic tree produced by the cf input again causes Ca²⁺ influx. Then, there is a second messenger cascade of events involving protein kinase C that links AMPA receptor and G protein-coupled metabotropic mGluR1 activation, Ca²⁺ entry or release from intracellular stores, and AMPA receptor phosphorylation. This process is also linked to nitric oxide (NO) production, although not from P-cells but perhaps from adjacent pfs or glia. NO is highly diffusible and may be an important messenger to induce synaptic changes in adjacent cells.

LTD has been well studied in culture and slice preparations, and pharmacological manipulations in vivo during adaptation of motor reflexes and studies of knockout
Many theories of cerebellar function have been proposed. An early suggestion was that the cerebellum formed a comparator in a servo loop involved in a comparison of the actual movement with a desired plan. This theory is supported by the many loops formed by connections to or within the cerebellum that could provide the necessary pathways for a servo loop, and also by clinical evidence. Cerebellar patients exhibit behavior similar to that of malfunctioning servo-controlled devices, most noticeably in the overshoot and intention tremor of their limbs. However, this simple theory neither accounts for the complexities of cellular physiology of the cerebellum nor for the clear evidence of learning within the cerebellum.

### Theories of Cerebellar Function

#### Comparator

An early suggestion was that the cerebellum formed a comparator in a servo loop involved in a comparison of the actual movement with a desired plan. This theory is supported by the many loops formed by connections to or within the cerebellum that could provide the necessary pathways for a servo loop, and also by clinical evidence. Cerebellar patients exhibit behavior similar to that of malfunctioning servo-controlled devices, most noticeably in the overshoot and intention tremor of their limbs. However, this simple theory neither accounts for the complexities of cellular physiology of the cerebellum nor for the clear evidence of learning within the cerebellum.

#### Timing Theories

The cerebellum could provide a mechanism for timing. mf inputs are delayed by the slow conduction of action potentials along the unmyelinated pfs, and so Purkinje cells lying along a pf beam could read off delayed versions of the information. Cerebellar patients do have problems in the timing of their voluntary movements and in temporal estimation or discrimination. However, the time delays caused by even the longest pfs are too short to explain these problems, so if the cerebellum is involved in timing motor action, it is not as straightforward as originally thought. More recent theories suggest a mechanism to encode long time intervals via complex and recurrent spatiotemporal waves of activity within the cerebellar cortex.

#### Parameter Control

An alternative proposal is that the cerebellum indirectly affects motor performance by setting parameters such as the gain of reflex loops. Evidence for this theory can be found in the hypotonia and hypertonia that result from cerebellar lesions, due to its influence on the balance of descending excitatory and inhibitory drive to spinal α- and γ-motoneurons, and in the control of the VOR gain.

The VOR is responsible for the steady gaze position of the eyes; it generates eye movements that compensate for motion of the head, detected by the vestibular system, and thus allows fixation of visual targets despite head movement. The reflex is ‘plastic’ and readily adapts to the changed visual input induced by wearing, for example, strong reading glasses (or even reversing glasses so that the eyes must move in the opposite direction to maintain gaze). Lesion experiments have shown the flocculonodulus to be necessary for VOR adaptation. The cfs carry ‘error’ signals about the slip of the visual image across the retina to the flocculus. The mfs carry vestibular and eye velocity signals; and output from the flocculus projects via the vestibular nuclei to oculomotor neurons. The VOR must also be suppressed to allow moving targets to be followed, and flocculonodular Purkinje cells are necessary for and most active during VOR suppression.

### Learning Machine

The remaining theories can all be grouped together within the idea of the cerebellum as a learning machine based on synaptic plasticity in the cerebellar cortex. This basic learning mechanism could then support a wide variety of cerebellar functions, including the VOR reflex described above. The very divergent mf projections and very specific inputs are also suggestive of an associative learning role because they could provide the mechanism to allow Purkinje cells to pair specific unconditional stimuli carried by the cfs with conditional sensory stimuli carried by the mfs. Detailed support for this proposal is available from studies of the nictitating membrane eye blink reflex in rabbits. Lesions of topographically related parts of the pons, cerebellar cortex, interpositus nucleus, and IO can affect the acquisition, timing, and retention of this reflex.

Related proposals are that the cerebellum is involved in learning motor programs, in retention of motor memories or skills, and in forming predictive internal models. An internal model is the neural representation of a process. Computational theories based on ‘forward’ and ‘inverse’ internal models of the motor system have been advanced to cover several areas of cerebellar operation and are proving useful in guiding interpretation of electrophysiological data. Forward models calculate the outcome of actions, based on efferent copy of motor commands, and therefore predict the sensory consequences of these actions; inverse models do the opposite, calculating the motor commands required to achieve a desired sensory state – an action goal.

In summary, a precise answer to the question ‘What does the cerebellum do?’ is still not possible. What seems clear is that the answer should combine parts of all these theories. Its role as a predictive forward model seems to fit most easily with much of the data. Such a predictive internal model would involve both learning and timing mechanisms, could be involved in setting motor parameters, and if damaged could lead to the very disabling motor symptoms seen clinically. It might also help explain cognitive changes, if the idea of an internal model is extended to nonmotor processes. What is clear is that the cerebellum is critically involved in almost all aspects of our behavior.

**See also:** Cerebellar Disorders (00010), Friedreich's Ataxia (00019), Learning Disability: Overview (00435), Plasticity (00071), Vestibular Reflexes (00163)
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